

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,780	05/03/2002	Yoshio Okubo	SDF-02-8	5042
31764	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF STUART D. FRENKEL, P.C. 3975 UNIVERSITY DR., STE. 330			YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
	FAIRFAX, VA 22030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1618	
		DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/069,780	OKUBO ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Micah-Paul Young	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>5-8 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachment(s)					
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/069,780

Art Unit: 1618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgment of Papers Received: Request for Continued Examination dated 4/26/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 5-8 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosures of Ibsen (USPN 5,147,65 hereafter '655). The claims are drawn to a method of administering an oral medical formulation comprising the administration of a foam composition into the oral cavity.
- 4. The '655 reference discloses a method of administering an oral formulation comprising the administration of a foaming formulation to the oral cavity (abstract, examples). The formulation comprising foaming agents and surfactants such as polyethylene glycol and sodium lauryl sulfate (col. 9, lin. 25-37). The formulation forms a foam around drug particles making the particle easier to swallow (col. 3, lin. 65-col. 4, lin. 11). The reference is silent to the

Application/Control Number: 10/069,780 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

dissolution time of the foam, yet those of ordinary skill in the art can manipulate these limitations. The general condition of a combination of surfactant and foaming agents is disclosed by the art. Applicant is reminded that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *See* In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

- 5. Furthermore the claims differ from the reference by reciting various concentrations of the active ingredient(s). However, the preparation of various compositions having various amounts of the active is within the level of skill of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. It has also been held that the mere selection of proportions and ranges is not patentable absent a showing of criticality. *See* In re Russell, 439 F.2d 1228 169 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1971).
- 6. With these things in mind it would be within the level of skill in the art to follow the teachings and suggestions of the art in order to deliver an oral foaming formulation. It would have been obvious to follow these teachings with an expected result of a method of delivering drug particles to a patient with problems swallowing.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Micah-Paul Young whose telephone number is 571-272-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 every other Monday off.

,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/069,780

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit 1618

MP Young

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600